William Knox 9709 Olson Rd NW Bremerton, Washington 98311-9017

cc Senator Ernest Hollings Senator Patty Murray Representative Jay Inslee Senator Maria Cantwell FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy FCC Commissioner Kevin J. Martin FCC Commissioner Kevin J. Martin FCC Commissioner Lonathan S. Adelstein

sport43@aol.com

To: Date: Commissioner Adelstein Sat, Jun 7, 2003 1.30 PM

Subject:

Please Act to Stop Media Monopolies

Senator John McCain U S Senate 241 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator McCain,

I urge you to tell the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) not to weaken the rules that help preserve competition and diversity among the owners of America's newspapers and radio and TV stations

As you know, the FCC is reviewing rules currently for media ownership and is likely to allow big corporations to dominate ownership of media in a particular city or town. If that happens, one company may be allowed to own the local newspaper, several TV and radio stations and the cable TV system in the same community. There would be fewer owners of networks, stations and newspapers nationwide.

Media ownership would be concentrated among fewer companies and the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints would be compromised. Plus, it likely would result in higher costs for businesses that advertise in local media, and those costs likely would be passed onto consumers.

The FCC is expected to vote on whether to change the rules on June 2. The public comments submitted to the FCC by individuals have been opposed to media consolidation overwhelmingly. Americans understand that the public interest is not being served by deregulation that reduces competition.

Please tell the FCC to reinstate its traditional media ownership rules for the sake of competition and democracy

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul Kearby 2695 tulip lane Hobart, Indiana 46342 Representative Peter Visclosky Senator Ernest Hollings Senator Evan Bayh Senator Richard Lugar FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy FCC Commissioner Michael J. Copps FCC Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

FCC Commissioner Jonathan S. Adelstein

mcquiddy@earthlink net

To: Date: Kathleen Abernathy

Subject:

Fri, May 23, 2003 1 11 PM HISTORY WILL SHOW

Dear Ms Abernathy,

As a writer specializing in history, I spend a fair amount of my time reading about people. I read about their decisions, their actions, and their motivations. I also read about how those people are remembered, in light of the consequences of their decisions and actions.

I'm sure you have heard from many people about the important decision you will be making on June 2, concerning the duty of the FCC to regulate media ownership. I urge you to consider not only the short-term consequences of your decision, but also the long-term results. That is to say, history. Because history will show who acted in the public interest, and who chose a more self-serving course.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Steve McQuiddy Eugene, Oregon

corley.paul@att net

To:

Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date:

Fri, May 23, 2003 1:27 PM

Subject:

broadcast rules

The Honorable Commissioners & Chairman.

I urge you not to relax the broadcast ownership rules that protect American citizens from media monopolies.

These proposed changes would pave the way for giant media conglomerates to gain near-total control of radio and television news and information in communities across the nation. And many of the corporations that are now lobbying for the FCC to relax these ownership rules already have a known track record in attempting to keep opposing viewpoints off the air.

The American people deserve to hear more than one point of view on important issues. Therefore, for the sake of our democracy and our freedom, I urge you to continue the broadcast ownership protections that, for decades, have helped to ensure a healthy political debate in out country.

Sincerely,

Paul W Corley 757 SE 17TH ST STE 182 FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33316 PHONE 954-294-0329 FAX 954-252-2461 corley paul@worldnet.att net

debrab@rolandus.com Kathleen Abernathy

To: Date:

Fri, May 23, 2003 2 12 PM

Subject:

You already know

By now, you already know why I am writing this e-mail. I want as diverse a media as possible. I am asking you to do the right thing. This may be a profit issue for corporations, but its not a democratic solution. It is not sound business and it is not free market capitalism either.

If you pass this initiative, there will come a time when you will regret it. I work in the music industry, and if you look at RCIA, you see the battles they are involved in now, all as a result of their efforts to control the means of distribution. The masses will find a way around you and your regulations. And it will find a way that you will not like, and will spend fortunes trying to control. Once again, this is not sound business practice

I'm asking you to think further than that and know that consolidation of the outlets is not the answer and will not benefit humanity. It is simply not the right thing to do. Will you value money or will you do what's right?

Debra A Barbre Market Development Manager 323-890-3700 ext 2336 www.rolandus.com

A¡Kelly7@ao! com

To:

Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date:

Fri, May 23, 2003 2 25 PM

Subject:

URGENT regarding the FCC vote on June 2, 2003

federal communications commission

chairman michael powell & commissioners kathleen abernathy, michael copps, kevin martin, jonathon adelstein

dear fcc

It has come to my attention that there will be a very important vote regarding media ownership restrictions. I have thoughts on this that I hope you will consider when making your choice on june 2, 2003.

unfortunately, many americans don't question the news we read and hear. moreover, we are not participating in the democratic process as i'm sure you're aware, this leaves us with a powerful one sided lobbying effort

as far as my personal lobbying effort goes with regard to media, you should know that I belong to no group I am not a member of any political party I'm not affiliated with any organization I do not have ties with any university I work for no corporation nor do I belong to any particular religion.

I am just an american citizen, . I am just one voice but I am sure that my voice is echoed by many that you can't hear, like lonely trees falling in the forest I can assure you, they're making a sound it's just not being reported anywhere.

why should you vote against removing the media ownership restrictions? first, it's important to note that this upcoming vote has not been widely publicized. I would have to go out of my way to tap into alternative media sources to find out that this is taking place. It's not being widely reported, not because it isn't an important issue that no one cares about, but because the very people who are planning to take advantage of the removal of media ownership restrictions are also the ones who bring us our mainstream news (this, in and of itself, should provide compelling evidence for what the responsible choice would be in the matter)

second, it is clear that lifting the restrictions can lead to a media monopoly. why is a media monolopy a bad idea?

let's say I can't afford alternative media, like the internet, or cable television—let's say I only have the radio, a newspaper, and a few network television stations to get all my news, music, and movies (or maybe I do have access to alternatives, but I just don't know better to seek them out)—let's say all those radio stations, newspapers, and television stations are owned by the same company

this leaves me with a very narrow window with which to view the world

through this narrow window comes what? what kind of world will I see? what kind of thoughts will impregnate my unconscious mind? what impressions will be left? and what kind of choices will I have?

this all depends on who is in charge of the programming

sure, we all have a mind of our own. We can critically analyze what we take in with our five senses—but do we? and how can the thoughts, images, and sounds that we're saturated with in the media affect our collective unconscious?

while we all can ultimately choose our own mental programming (much work in psychology is aimed toward empowering people to do this), please don't underestimate the power of media to "program" the human mind, without an invitation, and perhaps without the mind even realizing it after studying

academic and applied psychology for 18 years, i can assure you that the sights and sounds we are bombarded with are very powerful. If you don't believe me, see the film, "wag the dog" ... study how hitler used the media. look at any social psychology text. or ask anyone in advertising. (If they're honest, they'll tell you)

I have to admit that within five minutes of turning on the fox network the other night, (the o'reilly "he's an idiot, she's an idiot, they're all idiots" factor) my mind was consciously fighting fear. the ticker tape at the bottom of the screen updated me on all the latest threats and violence. the red ribbon on the left corner reading "terror alert high," was interpreted by my mind as "be very very afraid highly terrified, in fact."

when I turned on the fox network, I felt scared for the first time all day

when people are afraid, we will make bargians. we will support wars we will allow our government to keep secrets. we will allow our civil liberties to be violated we will allow our freedoms to be usurped

will the media program us with fear? isn't it already? (see michael moore's film "bowling for columbine" for a beautifully crafted subjective case on the this)

should you choose to lift these restrictions, will the monstrous monopoly that emerges be one that seeks truth and justice? will it be invested in war? or will it promote love, peace, and unity? whom will it's bedfellows be? will it have a mindset like fox, or more like hbo? what kind of sights and sounds will saturate our psyches?

will those of us who can't afford luxury media be left with no choices?

free media would have been an option worth considering should we end up losing our choices in the commercial arena (as a free radio producer, (www.likehumansdo.com) i have attempted to give people such a choice) but unfortunately, for some reason (maybe you can tell me why), lawmakers and governing bodies (such as the FCC) have chosen to sell off most of the people's bandwidth to big corporations—they (you) are also making it increasingly difficult (if not impossible) for communities and colleges to provide independent webcasting—and sadly, we are allowing it.

further, (unrelated to lawmakers and the like), people truly gifted in the arts are turning toward the big money, not free media—they don't want to "give it away." (it seems many of them want to be rich and famous).

so we're in a quandry fewer choices. more control.

this doesn't sound like the america i know

please consider making the responsible choice please do not allow for a media monopoly to be built please do the right thing for america

respectfully yours,

amy jennifer kelly, ms artist, activist, educator, counselor, american citizen orlando, florida

A_jKelly7@aol com

To:

Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner

Adelstein

Date:

Fri, May 23, 2003 2:28 PM

Subject:

URGENT regarding the FCC vote on June 2, 2003

federal communications commission

chairman michael powell & commissioners kathleen abernathy, michael copps, kevin martin, jonathon adelstein

dear fcc

It has come to my attention that there will be a very important vote regarding media ownership restrictions. I have thoughts on this that I hope you will consider when making your choice on june 2, 2003.

unfortunately, many americans don't question the news we read and hear moreover, we are not participating in the democratic process as i'm sure you're aware, this leaves us with a powerful one sided lobbying effort

as far as my personal lobbying effort goes with regard to media, you should know that I belong to no group I am not a member of any political party. I'm not affiliated with any organization. I do not have ties with any university I work for no corporation. nor do I belong to any particular religion

Fam just an american citizen, . I am just one voice—but I am sure that my voice is echoed by many that you can't hear, like lonely trees falling in the forest—i can assure you, they're making a sound—it's just not being reported anywhere

why should you vote against removing the media ownership restrictions? first, it's important to note that this upcoming vote has not been widely publicized. I would have to go out of my way to tap into alternative media sources to find out that this is taking place—it's not being widely reported, not because it isn't an important issue that no one cares about, but because the very people who are planning to take advantage of the removal of media ownership restrictions are also the ones who bring us our mainstream news (this, in and of itself, should provide compelling evidence for what the responsible choice would be in the matter)

second, it is clear that lifting the restrictions can lead to a media monopoly. why is a media monolopy a bad idea?

let's say I can't afford alternative media, like the internet, or cable television. let's say I only have the radio, a newspaper, and a few network television stations to get all my news, music, and movies (or maybe I do have access to alternatives, but I just don't know better to seek them out). let's say all those radio stations, newspapers, and television stations are owned by the same company.

this leaves me with a very narrow window with which to view the world

through this narrow window comes what?.. what kind of world will I see? what kind of thoughts will impregnate my unconscious mind? what impressions will be left? and what kind of choices will I have?

this all depends on who is in charge of the programming

sure, we all have a mind of our own. we can critically analyze what we take in with our five senses. but do we? and how can the thoughts, images, and sounds that we're saturated with in the media affect our collective unconscious?

while we all can ultimately choose our own mental programming (much work in psychology is aimed toward empowering people to do this), please don't underestimate the power of media to "program" the human mind, without an invitation, and perhaps without the mind even realizing it. after studying

academic and applied psychology for 18 years, i can assure you that the sights and sounds we are bombarded with are very powerful. If you don't believe me, see the film, "wag the dog" ... study how hitler used the media ... look at any social psychology text. or ask anyone in advertising.. (If they're honest, they'll tell you)

I have to admit that within five minutes of turning on the fox network the other night, (the o'reilly "he's an idiot, she's an idiot, they're all idiots" factor) my mind was consciously fighting fear. the ticker tape at the bottom of the screen updated me on all the latest threats and violence. the red ribbon on the left corner reading "terror alert high," was interpreted by my mind as "be very very afraid" highly terrified, in fact."

when I turned on the fox network, I felt scared for the first time all day

when people are afraid, we will make bargians we will support wars we will allow our government to keep secrets we will allow our civil liberties to be violated we will allow our freedoms to be usurped.

will the media program us with fear? isn't it already? (see michael moore's film "bowling for columbine" for a beautifully crafted subjective case on the this).

should you choose to lift these restrictions, will the monstrous monopoly that emerges be one that seeks truth and justice? will it be invested in war? or will it promote love, peace, and unity? whom will it's bedfellows be? will it have a mindset like fox, or more like hbo? what kind of sights and sounds will saturate our psyches?

will those of us who can't afford luxury media be left with no choices?

free media would have been an option worth considering should we end up losing our choices in the commercial arena (as a free radio producer, (www likehumansdo.com) i have attempted to give people such a choice) but unfortunately, for some reason (maybe you can tell me why), lawmakers and governing bodies (such as the FCC) have chosen to sell off most of the people's bandwidth to big corporations, they (you) are also making it increasingly difficult (if not impossible) for communities and colleges to provide independent webcasting, and sadly, we are allowing it

further, (unrelated to lawmakers and the like), people truly gifted in the arts are turning toward the big money, not free media—they don't want to "give it away"—(it seems many of them want to be rich and famous)

so we're in a quandry. fewer choices. more control

this doesn't sound like the america i know.

please consider making the responsible choice please do not allow for a media monopoly to be built please do the right thing for america

respectfully yours,

amy jennifer kelly, ms artist, activist, educator, counselor, american citizen orlando, florida

Timeholmes@aol.com Kathleen Abernathy

To. Date:

Fri, May 23, 2003 6:58 PM

Subject:

Please preserve variety of ownership

Dear Commissioner Abernathy

We are writing to urge you not to allow any further consolidation of media ownership in this country.

Freedom of the press, one of our basic cherished American freedoms, is already threatened by too few voices. In the last several years the number of owners of most media outlets has shrunk from 30 to 10. If the breadth of ownership grows any narrower, we fear for a true "imformed populace" outside the corporate interests of the media owners. We have seen the dangers of limited press ownership in other nations.

Please help protect our right to a free and unbiased press by doing all you can to widen not narrow the variety and scope of press ownership in the U. S

Sincerely,

Tim Holmes Claudia Crase 422 8th Ave Helena, MT 59601

Troonie2ns@aol.com

To:

Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, kjmwebb@fcc.gov, jabelste@fcc.gov

Date:

Fri, May 23, 2003 7 32 PM

Subject:

Media Deregulation

Esteemed Commissioners,

I'm writing out of concern for our democracy I agree with Thomas Jefferson, that without a well-educated populace, democracy is impossible. How can we achieve a "well educated" populace, when the media is controlled by just a few corporate giants with their own finances foremost in their agenda? We must have laws that limit ownership of the media, so that we can get a variety of diverse points of view. Corporations, by their nature, don't have democracy, and education as their primary motive, hence the need for protection. This is already a huge problem, and deregulation will only make it worse. Thank you for you time and consideration of this extremely important issue,

Rebecca Troon 398 Magna Vista Santa Barbara, CA 93110 troonie2ns@aol.com

kronheim@comcast.net

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Date:

Sun, Jun 8, 2003 9.02 PM

Subject:

Oppose media deregulation and demand public hearings

Dear FCC Commissioners and Chairman Powell,

cc my members of Congress

I urge you to vote to protect the public interest by dropping the FCC's plans to end critical safeguards designed to ensure diversity of media ownership and to delay the unnecessarily rushed vote on media ownership scheduled for June 2nd

Sincerely, PATRICIA KRONHEIM 113 WILSON ROAD KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-3043

art.yeske@frostbit com

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sun, Jun 8, 2003 9:21 PM

Subject:

Please Act to Stop Media Monopolies

Senator John McCain U S Senate 241 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator McCain,

Furge you to tell the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) not to weaken the rules that help preserve competition and diversity among the owners of America's newspapers and radio and TV stations

As you know, the FCC is reviewing rules currently for media ownership and is likely to allow big corporations to dominate ownership of media in a particular city or town. If that happens, one company may be allowed to own the local newspaper, several TV and radio stations and the cable TV system in the same community. There would be fewer owners of networks, stations and newspapers nationwide.

Media ownership would be concentrated among fewer companies and the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints would be compromised Plus, it likely would result in higher costs for businesses that advertise in local media, and those costs likely would be passed onto consumers.

The FCC is expected to vote on whether to change the rules on June 2. The public comments submitted to the FCC by individuals have been opposed to media consolidation overwhelmingly. Americans understand that the public interest is not being served by deregulation that reduces competition.

Please tell the FCC to reinstate its traditional media ownership rules for the sake of competition and democracy.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Arthur Yeske 5333 Bounty St S E Prior Lake, Minnesota 55372-2504

CC.

Senator Ernest Hollings
Senator Mark Dayton
Representative John Kline
Senator Norm Coleman
FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell
FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy
FCC Commissioner Michael J Copps
FCC Commissioner Kevin J. Martin

FCC Commissioner Jonathan S Adelstein

art yeske@frostbit.com Commissioner Adelstein

To: Date:

Sun, Jun 8, 2003 9.21 PM

Subject:

Please Act to Stop Media Monopolies

Senator John McCain U S Senate 241 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator McCain,

I urge you to tell the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) not to weaken the rules that help preserve competition and diversity among the owners of America's newspapers and radio and TV stations.

As you know, the FCC is reviewing rules currently for media ownership and is likely to allow big corporations to dominate ownership of media in a particular city or town. If that happens, one company may be allowed to own the local newspaper, several TV and radio stations and the cable TV system in the same community. There would be fewer owners of networks, stations and newspapers nationwide.

Media ownership would be concentrated among fewer companies and the public's ability to have open, informed discussion with a wide variety of viewpoints would be compromised Plus, it likely would result in higher costs for businesses that advertise in local media, and those costs likely would be passed onto consumers

The FCC is expected to vote on whether to change the rules on June 2. The public comments submitted to the FCC by individuals have been opposed to media consolidation overwhelmingly Americans understand that the public interest is not being served by deregulation that reduces competition

Please tell the FCC to reinstate its traditional media ownership rules for the sake of competition and democracy.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Arthur Yeske 5333 Bounty St S E Prior Lake, Minnesota 55372-2504 Senator Ernest Hollings
Senator Mark Dayton
Representative John Kline
Senator Norm Coleman
FCC Chairman Michael K. Powell
FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q Abernathy
FCC Commissioner Michael J. Copps
FCC Commissioner Kevin J Martin
FCC Commissioner Jonathan S Adelstein

smc320@msn.com

To:

Commissioner Adelstein Sun, Jun 8, 2003 9.24 PM

Date: Subject:

Please oppose media deregulation and demand public hearings

Dear Chairman Powell,

cc FCC Commissioners

cc Sen Wyden

I urge you to vote to protect the public interest by dropping the FCC's plans to end critical safeguards designed to ensure diversity of media ownership and to ensure that there are public hearings to discuss how such proposals will affect Oregon and the nation.

Sincerely, Scott Crockett 85334 Glenada Rd Florence, OR 97439

smc320@msn.com

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sun, Jun 8, 2003 9:24 PM

Subject:

Please oppose media deregulation and demand public hearings

Dear Chairman Powell,

cc FCC Commissioners

cc Sen Wyden

I urge you to vote to protect the public interest by dropping the FCC's plans to end critical safeguards designed to ensure diversity of media ownership and to ensure that there are public hearings to discuss how such proposals will affect Oregon and the nation

Sincerely, Scott Crockett 85334 Glenada Rd Florence, OR 97439

turnlor@yahoo.com

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sun, Jun 8, 2003 9:54 PM

Subject:

Oppose media deregulation and demand public hearings

Dear FCC Commissioners and Chairman Powell,

cc my members of Congress

I urge you to vote to protect the public interest by dropping the FCC's plans to end critical safeguards designed to ensure diversity of media ownership and to delay the unnecessarily rushed vote on media ownership scheduled for June 2nd

Sincerely, Lorna Turner 2617 annapolis circle san bernardino, CA 92408

turnlor@yahoo.com

To:

Commissioner Adelstein

Date:

Sun, Jun 8, 2003 9.54 PM

Subject:

Oppose media deregulation and demand public hearings

Dear FCC Commissioners and Chairman Powell,

cc my members of Congress

I urge you to vote to protect the public interest by dropping the FCC's plans to end critical safeguards designed to ensure diversity of media ownership and to delay the unnecessarily rushed vote on media ownership scheduled for June 2nd.

Sincerely, Lorna Turner 2617 annapolis circle san bernardino, CA 92408

rbutterfly@earthlink net

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sun, Jun 8, 2003 9 56 PM

Subject:

Oppose media deregulation and demand public hearings

Dear FCC Commissioners and Chairman Powell,

cc my members of Congress

I urge you to vote to protect the public interest by dropping the FCC's plans to end critical safeguards designed to ensure diversity of media ownership and to delay the unnecessarily rushed vote on media ownership scheduled for June 2nd

Sincerely, Ruth Parrott Ruth Parrott 7 Avenida Vista Grande Santa Fe, NM 87508

rbutterfly@earthlink net

To:

Kathleen Abernathy

Date:

Sun, Jun 8, 2003 9:56 PM

Subject:

Oppose media deregulation and demand public hearings

Dear FCC Commissioners and Chairman Powell,

cc my members of Congress

I urge you to vote to protect the public interest by dropping the FCC's plans to end critical safeguards designed to ensure diversity of media ownership and to delay the unnecessarily rushed vote on media ownership scheduled for June 2nd

Sincerely, Ruth Parrott Ruth Parrott 7 Avenida Vista Grande Santa Fe, NM 87508